arXiv:math/0211463v4 [math.DS] 1 Apr 2003

Bi-Hamiltonian partially integrable systems

G.Giachetta[1],
Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy

L.Mangiarotti[2],
Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy

G. Sardanashvily[3]
Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

Given a first order dynamical system possessing a commutative algebra of dynamical symmetries, we show that, under certain conditions, there exists a Poisson structure on an open neighbourhood of its regular (not necessarily compact) invariant manifold which makes this dynamical system into a partially integrable Hamiltonian system. This Poisson structure is by no means unique. Bi-Hamiltonian partially integrable systems are described in some detail. As an outcome, we state the conditions of quasi-periodic stability (the KAM theorem) for partially integrable Hamiltonian systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth real manifold Z, let we have k mutually commutative vector fields $\{\vartheta_\lambda\}$ which are independent almost everywhere on Z, i.e., the set of points where the multivector field $\overset{k}{\wedge}\vartheta_\lambda$ vanishes is nowhere dense. We denote by $\mathcal{S} \subset C^\infty(Z)$ the $\mathbb{R}$-subring of smooth real functions f on Z whose derivations $\vartheta_\lambda\rfloor df$ vanish for all ϑ_λ. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the k-dimensional $\mathcal{S}$-Lie algebra generated by the vector fields $\{\vartheta_\lambda\}$. One can think of one of its elements as being a first order dynamic equation on Z and of the other as being the dynamical symmetries. Accordingly, elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are regarded as integrals of motion. For the sake of brevity, we agree to call $\mathcal{A}$ a dynamical algebra.

Completely and partially integrable systems on symplectic manifolds[1] and broadly integrable dynamical systems of Bogoyavlenkij[2,3] exemplify finite-dimensional commutative dynamical algebras. Recall that, given a symplectic manifold (Z,Ω), we have a partially integrable system (henceforth PIS) if there exist $1 \leq k \leq \dim Z/2$ smooth real functions $\{H_\lambda\}$ in involution which are independent almost everywhere on Z, i.e., the set of points where the k-form $\overset{k}{\wedge} dH_\lambda$ vanishes is nowhere dense. The Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ of functions H_λ mutually commute and are independent almost everywhere. They make up a commutative dynamical algebra over the Poisson subalgebra $\mathcal{S}$ of elements of $C^\infty(Z)$ commuting with all the functions H_λ.

---

[1] Electronic mail: giovanni.giachetta@unicam.it
[2] Electronic mail: luigi.mangiarotti@unicam.it
[3] Electronic mail: sard@grav.phys.msu.su

An important peculiarity of a finite-dimensional commutative dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is that its regular invariant manifolds are toroidal cylinders $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m$. At the same time, no preferable Poisson structure is associated to a commutative Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ because its Lie–Poisson structure is zero. Therefore, we are free with analyzing different Poisson structures which make $\mathcal{A}$ into a Hamiltonian system. However, this analysis essentially differs from that of noncommutative integrable systems (see Ref. [4] for a survey). One has investigated different symplectic structures around invariant tori of commutative integrable systems.[2,3,5,6] For instance, the classical Liouville–Arnold theorem[1,7] and the Nekhoroshev theorem[8,9] state that, under certain conditions, every symplectic structure making a commutative dynamical algebra into a Hamiltonian system takes a canonical form around a compact invariant manifold.

Our goal is to describe all Poisson structures bringing a commutative dynamical algebra into a PIS near its regular invariant manifold, which need not be compact.

Definition 1: A k-dimensional commutative dynamical algebra on a regular Poisson manifold (Z,w) is said to be a PIS if: (a) $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by Hamiltonian vector fields of k almost everywhere independent integrals of motion $H_\lambda\in C^\infty(Z)$ in involution; (b) all elements of $\mathcal{S}\subset C^\infty(Z)$ are mutually in involution.

It follows at once from this definition that the Poisson structure w is at least of rank $2k$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is a commutative Poisson algebra. If $2k=\dim Z$, we have a completely integrable system on a symplectic manifold.

Theorem 1 below states that:

(i) under certain conditions, an open neighbourhood U of a regular invariant manifold M of the dynamical system $\mathcal{A}$ is a trivial principal bundle

$$U=N\times(\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m)\xrightarrow{\pi}N \tag{1}$$

over a domain $N\subset\mathbb{R}^{\dim Z-k}$ with the structure group $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m$;

(ii) the toroidal domain (1) is provided with a Poisson structure w such that $(w,\mathcal{A})$ is a PIS in accordance with Definition 1.

Note that a trivial fibration in invariant manifolds is a standard property of integrable systems.[1–3,8–12] However, there exists a well-known obstruction to its global extension in the case of compact invariant manifolds,[13] and there is an additional obstruction similar to that in Ref. [3] if invariant manifolds are noncompact.

A Poisson structure in Theorem 1 is by no means unique. Let the toroidal domain (1) be provided with bundle coordinates (r^A,y^λ), where (r^A) are coordinates on N and $(y^\lambda)=(t^a,\varphi^i)$ are standard coordinates on the toroidal cylinder $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m$. It is readily observed that, if a Poisson bivector field on the toroidal domain U satisfies Definition 1, it takes the form

$$w=w_1+w_2=w^{A\lambda}(r^B)\partial_A\wedge\partial_\lambda+w^{\mu\nu}(r^B,y^\lambda)\partial_\mu\wedge\partial_\nu. \tag{2}$$

The converse also holds (see Theorem 2 below). For any Poisson bivector field w (2) of rank $2k$ on U, there exists a toroidal domain $U'\subset U$ such that $(w,\mathcal{A})$ is a PIS on U'. Moreover, Theorem 3 in Section III states that there is a toroidal domain U' such that, restricted to U', this Poisson bivector field takes the canonical form (16).

Now, let w and w' be two different Poisson structures (2) which make a commutative dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into the different PISs $(w,\mathcal{A})$ and $(w',\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 2: We agree to call the triple $(w,w',\mathcal{A})$ a bi-Hamiltonian PIS if any Hamiltonian vector field $\vartheta\in\mathcal{A}$ with respect to w possesses the same Hamilton representation

$$\vartheta=-w\lfloor df=-w'\lfloor df, \qquad f\in\mathcal{S}, \tag{3}$$

relative to w', and *vice versa*.

Definition 2 establishes a *sui generis* equivalence between the PISs $(w,\mathcal{A})$ and $(w',\mathcal{A})$. Theorem 4 below states that the triple $(w,w',\mathcal{A})$ is a bi-Hamiltonian PIS in accordance with Definition 2 iff the Poisson bivector fields w and w' (2) differ only in the second terms w_2 and w'_2. Moreover, these Poisson bivector fields admit a recursion operator.

Let now $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative dynamical algebra associated to a PIS on a symplectic manifold (Z,Ω). In this case, condition (b) in Definition 1 is not necessarily satisfied, unless it is a completely integrable system. Nevertheless, there exists a Poisson structure w of rank $2k$ on the toroidal domain (1) such that, with respect to w, all integrals of motion H_λ of the original PIS remain to be in involution, and they possess the same Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ (see Theorem 6 below). Therefore, one can think of the triple $(\Omega,w,\{H_\lambda\})$ as being a special bi-Hamiltonian system, though it fails to satisfy Definition 2. Conversely, if Z is even-dimensional, any Poisson bivector field w (2) setting a PIS $(w,\mathcal{A})$ is extended to an appropriate symplectic structure Ω such that $(\Omega,\mathcal{A})$ is a PIS on the symplectic manifold (Z,Ω).

There are several reasons in order to make a commutative dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into a Hamiltonian one. For instance, one can quantize $\mathcal{A}$ around its invariant manifold by quantizing the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{S}$.[12,14] Of course, quantization of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to different Poisson structures need not be equivalent. However, we focus on another result. In Section V, we show that, introducing an appropriate Poisson structure and using the methods in Ref. [10], one can extend the well-known KAM theorem to PISs.

II. SEMILOCAL GEOMETRY AROUND AN INVARIANT MANIFOLD

Given a k-dimensional commutative dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on a smooth manifold Z, let $\mathcal{V}$ be the smooth involutive distribution on Z spanned by the vector fields $\{\vartheta_\lambda\}$, and let G be the group of local diffeomorphisms of Z generated by the flows of these vector fields (we follow the terminology of Ref. [15]). Maximal integral manifolds of $\mathcal{V}$ are the orbits of G, and are invariant manifolds of $\mathcal{A}$.[15] Let $z\in Z$ be a regular point of the distribution $\mathcal{V}$, i.e., $\overset{k}{\wedge}\vartheta_\lambda(z)\neq 0$. Since the group G preserves $\overset{k}{\wedge}\vartheta_\lambda$, the maximal integral manifold M of $\mathcal{V}$

through z is also regular. Furthermore, there exists an open neighbourhood U of M such that, restricted to U, the distribution $\mathcal{V}$ is regular and yields a foliation $\mathfrak{F}$ of U.

Theorem 1: Let us suppose that: (i) the vector fields ϑ_λ on U are complete, (ii) the foliation $\mathfrak{F}$ of U admits a transversal manifold Σ and its holonomy pseudogroup on Σ is trivial, (iii) the leaves of this foliation are mutually diffeomorphic. Then the following hold.

(I) There exists an open neighbourhood of M, say U again, which is the trivial principal bundle (1) over a domain $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim Z-k}$ with the structure group $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$.

(II) If $2k \leq \dim Z$, there exists a Poisson structure w of rank $2k$ on U such that $(w, \mathcal{A})$ is a PIS in accordance with Definition 1.

Let us note the following. Condition (i) states that G is a group of diffeomorphisms of U. Condition (ii) is equivalent to the assumption that $U \to U/G$ is a fibered manifold.[16] Each fiber M_r, $r \in N$, of this fibered manifold admits a free transitive action of the group $G_r = G/K_r$, where K_r is the isotropy group of an arbitrary point of M_r. In accordance with condition (iii), all the groups G_r, $r \in N$, are isomorphic to the toroidal cylinder group $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$ for some $0 \leq m \leq k$. The goal is to define these isomorphisms so that they provide a smooth action of $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$ in U. We follow the proof in Refs. [7,17] generalized to noncompact invariant manifolds. We establish a particular trivialization (1) such that the generators ϑ_λ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ take the specific form (8). Part (II) of Theorem 1 is based on this trivialization

Proof: (I). By virtue of the condition (ii), the foliation $\mathfrak{F}$ of U is a fibered manifold

$$\pi : U \to N, \tag{4}$$

admitting a section σ such that and $\Sigma = \sigma(N)$.[16] Since the vector fields ϑ_λ on U are complete and mutually commutative, the group G of their flows is an additive Lie group of diffeomorphism of U. Its group space is a vector space $\mathbb{R}^k$ coordinated by parameters (s^λ) of the flows with respect to the basis $\{e_\lambda = \vartheta_\lambda\}$. Since vector fields ϑ_λ are independent everywhere on U, the action of $\mathbb{R}^k$ in U is locally free, i.e., isotropy groups of points of U are discrete subgroups of the group $\mathbb{R}^k$. Its orbits are fibers of the fibered manifold (4). Given a point $r \in N$, the action of $\mathbb{R}^k$ in the fiber $M_r = \pi^{-1}(r)$ factorizes as

$$\mathbb{R}^k \times M_r \to G_r \times M_r \to M_r \tag{5}$$

through the free transitive action in M_r of the factor group $G_r = \mathbb{R}^k/K_r$, where K_r is the isotropy group of an arbitrary point of M_r. It is the same group for all points of M_r because $\mathbb{R}^k$ is a commutative group. Since the fibers M_r are mutually diffeomorphic, all isotropy groups K_r are isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z}^m$ for some fixed $0 \leq m \leq k$. Accordingly, the groups G_r are isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$. Let us bring the fibered manifold $U \to N$ (4) into a principal bundle with the structure group G_0, where we denote $\{0\} = \pi(M)$. For this purpose, let us determine isomorphisms $\rho_r : G_0 \to G_r$ of the group G_0 to the groups G_r, $r \in N$. Then, a desired fiberwise action of G_0 in U is defined by the law

$$G_0 \times M_r \to \rho_r(G_0) \times M_r \to M_r. \tag{6}$$

Generators of each isotropy subgroup K_r of $\mathbb{R}^k$ are given by m linearly independent vectors of the group space $\mathbb{R}^k$. One can show that there exist ordered collections of generators $(v_1(r),\ldots,v_m(r))$ of the groups K_r such that $r\mapsto v_i(r)$ are smooth $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued fields on N. Indeed, given a vector $v_i(0)$ and a section σ of the fibered manifold (4), each field $v_i(r)=(s^\alpha(r))$ is the unique smooth solution of the equation

$$g(s^\alpha)\sigma(r)=\sigma(r), \qquad (s^\alpha(0))=v_i(0),$$

on an open neighbourhood of $\{0\}$. Let us consider the decomposition

$$v_i(0)=B^a_i(0)e_a+C^j_i(0)e_j, \qquad a=1,\ldots,k-m, \qquad j=1,\ldots,m,$$

where $C^j_i(0)$ is a nondegenerate matrix. Since the fields $v_i(r)$ are smooth, there exists an open neighbourhood of $\{0\}$, say N again, where the matrices $C^j_i(r)$ are nondegenerate. Then,

$$A_r=\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{Id} & (B(r)-B(0))C^{-1}(0)\\ 0 & C(r)C^{-1}(0)\end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

is a unique linear morphism of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^k$ which transforms the frame $v_\lambda(0)=\{e_a,v_i(0)\}$ into the frame $v_\lambda(r)=\{e_a,v_i(r)\}$. Since it is also an automorphism of the group $\mathbb{R}^k$ sending K_0 onto K_r, we obtain a desired isomorphism ρ_r of the group G_0 to the group G_r. Let an element g of the group G_0 be the coset of an element $g(s^\lambda)$ of the group $\mathbb{R}^k$. Then, it acts in M_r by the rule (6) just as the element $g((A_r^{-1})^\lambda_\beta s^\beta)$ of the group $\mathbb{R}^k$ does. Since entries of the matrix A (7) are smooth functions on N, this action of the group G_0 in U is smooth. It is free, and $U/G_0=N$. Then, the fibered manifold $U\to N$ is a trivial principal bundle with the structure group G_0. Given a section σ of the principal bundle $U\to N$, its trivialization $U=N\times G_0$ is defined by assigning the points $\rho^{-1}(g_r)$ of the group space G_0 to the points $g_r\sigma(r)$, $g_r\in G_r$, of a fiber M_r. Let us endow G_0 with the standard coordinate atlas $(y^\lambda)=(t^a,\varphi^i)$ of the group $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m$. Then, we provide U with the trivialization (1) with respect to the coordinates (r^A,t^a,φ^i), where (r^A), $A=1,\ldots,\dim Z-k$, are coordinates on the base N. The vector fields ϑ_λ on U relative to these coordinates read

$$\vartheta_a=\partial_a, \qquad \vartheta_i=-(BC^{-1})^a_i(r)\partial_a+(C^{-1})^k_i(r)\partial_k. \tag{8}$$

Accordingly, the subring $\mathcal{S}$ restricted to U is the pull-back $\pi^*C^\infty(N)$ onto U of the ring of smooth functions on N.

(II). Let us split the coordinates (r^A) into some k coordinates (I_λ) and $\dim Z-2k$ coordinates (z^A). Then, we can provide the toroidal domain U (1) with the Poisson bivector field

$$w=\partial^\lambda\wedge\partial_\lambda \tag{9}$$

of rank $2k$. The independent complete vector fields ∂_a and ∂_i are Hamiltonian vector fields of the functions $H_a=I_a$ and $H_i=I_i$ on U which are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket

$$\{f,f'\}=\partial^\lambda f\partial_\lambda f'-\partial_\lambda f\partial^\lambda f' \tag{10}$$

defined by the bivector field (9). By virtue of the expression (8), the Hamiltonian vector fields $\{\partial_\lambda\}$ generate the $\mathcal{S}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

III. POISSON STRUCTURES AROUND AN INVARIANT MANIFOLD

Theorem 2: For any Poisson bivector field w (2) of rank $2k$ on U, there exists a toroidal domain $U' \subset U$ such that $(w, \mathcal{A})$ is a PIS on U'.

It is readily observed that any Poisson bivector field w (2) fulfills condition (b) in Definition 1, but condition (a) imposes a restriction on the toroidal domain U. The key point is that the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of U yielded by the Poisson bivector fields w (2) is the pull-back of a k-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}_N$ of the base N, which is defined by the first summand w_1 (2) of w. With respect to the adapted coordinates (J_λ, z^A), $\lambda = 1, \ldots, k$, on the foliated manifold $(N, \mathcal{F}_N)$, the Poisson bivector field w reads

$$w = w^\mu_\nu(J_\lambda, z^A)\partial^\nu \wedge \partial_\mu + w^{\mu\nu}(J_\lambda, z^A, y^\lambda)\partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu. \tag{11}$$

Then, condition (a) in Definition 1 is satisfied if $N' \subset N$ is a domain of a coordinate chart (J_λ, z^A) of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_N$. In this case, the dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on the toroidal domain $U' = \pi^{-1}(N')$ is generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\vartheta_\lambda = -w\lfloor dJ_\lambda = w^\mu_\lambda \partial_\mu \tag{12}$$

of the k independent functions $H_\lambda = J_\lambda$.

Proof: The characteristic distribution of the Poisson bivector field w (2) is spanned by the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$v^A = -w\lfloor dr^A = w^{A\mu}\partial_\mu \tag{13}$$

and the vector fields

$$w\lfloor dy^\lambda = w^{A\lambda}\partial_A + 2w^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\mu.$$

Since w is of rank $2k$, the vector fields ∂_μ can be expressed into the vector fields v^A (13). Hence, the characteristic distribution of w is spanned by the Hamiltonian vector fields v^A (13) and the vector fields

$$v^\lambda = w^{A\lambda}\partial_A. \tag{14}$$

The vector fields (14) are projected onto N. Moreover, one can derive from the relation $[w, w] = 0$ that they generate a Lie algebra and, consequently, span an involutive distribution $\mathcal{V}_N$ of rank k on N. Let $\mathcal{F}_N$ denote the corresponding foliation of N. We consider the pull-back $\mathcal{F} = \pi^*\mathcal{F}_N$ of this foliation onto U by the trivial fibration π.[16] Its leaves are the inverse images $\pi^{-1}(F_N)$ of leaves F_N of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_N$, and so is its characteristic distribution $T\mathcal{F} = (T\pi)^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_N)$. This distribution is spanned by the vector fields v^λ (14) on U and the vertical vector fields on $U \to N$, namely, the vector fields v^A (13) generating the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, $T\mathcal{F}$ is the characteristic distribution of the Poisson bivector field w. Furthermore, since $U \to N$ is a trivial bundle, each leaf $\pi^{-1}(F_N)$ of the pull-back foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is the manifold product of a leaf F_N of N and the toroidal cylinder $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$. It follows that the foliated manifold $(U, \mathcal{F})$ can be provided with an adapted coordinate atlas

$$\{(U_\iota, J_\lambda, z^A, y^\lambda)\}, \qquad \lambda = 1, \ldots, k, \qquad A = 1, \ldots, \dim Z - 2k, \tag{15}$$

such that (J_λ, z^A) are adapted coordinates on the foliated manifold $(N, \mathcal{F}_N)$, i.e., transition functions of coordinates z^A are independent of J_λ, while transition functions of coordinates $(y^\lambda) = (t^a, \varphi^\lambda)$ on the toroidal cylinder $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$ are independent of coordinates J_λ and z^A. With respect to these coordinates, the Poisson bivector field (2) takes the form (11). Let N' be the domain of a coordinate chart (15). Then, the dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on the toroidal domain $U' = \pi^{-1}(N')$ is generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ (12) of functions $H_\lambda = J_\lambda$.

Note that the coefficients $w^{\mu\nu}$ in the expressions (2) and (11) are affine in coordinates y^λ because of the relation $[w, w] = 0$ and, consequently, are constant on tori. Furthermore, one can improve the expression (11) as follows.

Theorem 3: Given a PIS $(w, \mathcal{A})$ on a Poisson manifold (w, U), there exists a toroidal domain $U' \subset U$ equipped with partial action-angle coordinates $(I_a, I_i, z^A, x^a, \phi^i)$ such that, restricted to U', a Poisson bivector field takes the canonical form

$$w = \partial^a \wedge \partial_a + \partial^i \wedge \partial_i, \tag{16}$$

while the dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by Hamiltonian vector fields of the action coordinate functions $H_a = I_a$, $H_i = I_i$.

Theorem 3 extends the Liouville–Arnold theorem to the case of a Poisson structure and a noncompact invariant manifold. To prove it (see Appendix A), we reformulate the proof of the Liouville–Arnold theorem for noncompact invariant manifolds in Refs. [11,12] in terms of a leafwise symplectic structure.

Given a dynamic equation $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$, it may happen that no Poisson bivector field (2) makes ξ into a Hamilton equation. If ξ is a nowhere vanishing complete vector field whose trajectories are not located in tori, one can choose ξ as one of the generators, e.g., $\xi = \vartheta_1$ in Theorem 1 so that U can be provided with a trivialization such that $\xi = \vartheta_1 = \partial_1$ in the expression (8). Then, the Poisson structure (9) brings ξ into a Hamilton equation. This improves the well-known result of Hojman[18] that, under certain conditions, a first order dynamic equation can be brought into a Hamilton one with respect to a Poisson structure of rank 2. Moreover, any dynamic equation ξ on U gives rise to an equivalent Hamilton equation $\partial_t + \xi$ of time-dependent mechanics on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times U$.[11,12]

IV. BI-HAMILTONIAN STRUCTURES

Now, let w and w' be two different Poisson structures (2) on the toroidal domain (1) which make a commutative dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into two different PISs $(w, \mathcal{A})$ and $(w', \mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 4: (I) The triple $(w, w', \mathcal{A})$ is a bi-Hamiltonian system PIS in accordance with Definition 2 iff the Poisson bivector fields w and w' (2) differ only in the second terms w_2 and w'_2. (II) These Poisson bivector fields admit a recursion operator.

Proof: (I). It is easily justified that, if Poisson bivector fields w (2) fulfil Definition 2, they are distinguished only by the second summand w_2. Conversely, as follows from the proof of Theorem 2, the characteristic distribution of a Poisson bivector field w (2) is spanned by the vector fields (13) and (14). Hence, all Poisson bivector fields w (2) distinguished only by the second summand w_2 have the same characteristic distribution, and they bring $\mathcal{A}$ into a PIS on the same toroidal domain U'. Then, the condition in Definition 2 is easily justified.

(II). The result follows from forthcoming Lemma 5.

Given a smooth real manifold X, let w and w' be Poisson bivector fields of rank $2k$ on X, and let

$$w^\sharp : T^*X \to TX, \qquad w'^\sharp : T^*X \to TX \tag{17}$$

be the corresponding bundle homomorphisms. A tangent-valued one-form R on X yields bundle endomorphisms

$$R : TX \to TX, \qquad R^* : T^*X \to T^*X. \tag{18}$$

It is called a recursion operator if

$$w'^\sharp = R \circ w^\sharp = w^\sharp \circ R^*. \tag{19}$$

Given a Poisson bivector field w and a tangent valued one-form R such that $R \circ w^\sharp = w^\sharp \circ R^*$, the well-known sufficient condition for $R \circ w^\sharp$ to be a Poisson bivector field is that the Nijenhuis torsion of R and the Magri–Morosi concomitant of R and w vanish.[19,20] However, as we will see later, recursion operators between Poisson bivector fields in Theorem 4 need not satisfy these conditions.

Lemma 5: A recursion operator between Poisson structures of the same rank exists iff their characteristic distributions coincide.

Proof: It follows from the equalities (19) that a recursion operator R sends the characteristic distribution of w to that of w', and these distributions coincide if w and w' are of the same rank. Conversely, let regular Poisson structures w and w' possess the same characteristic distribution $T\mathcal{F} \to TX$ tangent to a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of X. Let $T\mathcal{F}^* \to X$ be the dual of $T\mathcal{F} \to X$, and let

$$0 \to T\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{i_\mathcal{F}} TX \longrightarrow TX/T\mathcal{F} \to 0, \tag{20}$$

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ann} T\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow T^*X \xrightarrow{i^*_\mathcal{F}} T\mathcal{F}^* \to 0, \tag{21}$$

be the corresponding exact sequences. The bundle homomorphisms $w^\sharp$ and $w'^\sharp$ (17) factorize in a unique fashion

$$\begin{aligned} w^\sharp &: T^*X \xrightarrow{i^*_{\mathcal F}} T\mathcal F^* \xrightarrow{w^\sharp_{\mathcal F}} T\mathcal F \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal F}} TX, \\ w'^\sharp &: T^*X \xrightarrow{i^*_{\mathcal F}} T\mathcal F^* \xrightarrow{w'^\sharp_{\mathcal F}} T\mathcal F \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal F}} TX \end{aligned}$$

through the bundle isomorphisms

$$w^\sharp_{\mathcal F} : T\mathcal F^* \to T\mathcal F, \qquad w'^\sharp_{\mathcal F} : T\mathcal F^* \to T\mathcal F.$$

Let us consider the inverse isomorphisms

$$w^\flat_{\mathcal F} : T\mathcal F \to T\mathcal F^*, \qquad w'^\flat_{\mathcal F} : T\mathcal F \to T\mathcal F^* \tag{22}$$

and the compositions

$$R_{\mathcal F} = w'^\sharp_{\mathcal F} \circ w^\flat_{\mathcal F} : T\mathcal F \to T\mathcal F, \qquad R^*_{\mathcal F} = w^\flat_{\mathcal F} \circ w'^\sharp_{\mathcal F} : T\mathcal F^* \to T\mathcal F^*. \tag{23}$$

There is the obvious relation

$$w'^\sharp_{\mathcal F} = R_{\mathcal F} \circ w^\sharp_{\mathcal F} = w^\sharp_{\mathcal F} \circ R^*_{\mathcal F}.$$

In order to obtain a recursion operator (19), it suffices to extend the morphisms $R_{\mathcal F}$ and $R^*_{\mathcal F}$ (23) onto TX and T^*X, respectively. For this purpose, let us consider a splitting

$$\zeta : TX \to T\mathcal F, \qquad TX = T\mathcal F \oplus (\mathrm{Id} - i_{\mathcal F} \circ \zeta)TX = T\mathcal F \oplus E,$$

of the exact sequence (20) and the dual splitting

$$\zeta^* : T\mathcal F^* \to T^*X, \qquad T^*X = \zeta^*(T\mathcal F^*) \oplus (\mathrm{Id} - \zeta^* \circ i^*_{\mathcal F})T^*X = \zeta^*(T\mathcal F^*) \oplus E'$$

of the exact sequence (21). Then, the desired extensions are

$$R := R_{\mathcal F} \times \mathrm{Id}\, E, \qquad R^* := (\zeta^* \circ R^*_{\mathcal F}) \times \mathrm{Id}\, E'.$$

This recursion operator is invertible, i.e., the morphisms (18) are bundle isomorphisms.

For instance, the Poisson bivector field w (2) and the Poisson bivector field

$$w_0 = w^{A\lambda}(r)\partial_A \wedge \partial_\lambda \tag{24}$$

admit a recursion operator $w_0^\sharp = R \circ w^\sharp$ whose entries are given by the equalities

$$R^A_B = \delta^A_B, \qquad R^\mu_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu, \qquad R^A_\lambda = 0, \qquad w^{\mu\lambda} = R^\lambda_B w^{B\mu}. \tag{25}$$

Its Nijenhuis torsion fails to vanish, unless coefficients $w^{\mu\lambda}$ are independent of coordinates y^λ.

Turn now to the case of a commutative dynamical algebra $\mathcal{A}$ defined by a PIS on a symplectic manifold (Z,Ω). The following generalization of the Nekhoroshev theorem to noncompact invariant manifolds addresses such a system.

Theorem 6: Let $(\Omega,\{H_\lambda\},\vartheta_\lambda)$ be a k-dimensional PIS on a $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold (Z,Ω). Let the distribution $\mathcal{V}$, its regular integral manifold M, an open neighbourhood U of M, and the foliation $\mathfrak{F}$ of U be as those in Theorem 1. Under conditions (i) – (iii) of Theorem 1, the following hold.

(I) There exists an open neighbourhood of M, say U again, which is the trivial bundle (1) in toroidal cylinders $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m$ over a domain $N\subset\mathbb{R}^{2n-k}$.

(II) It is provided with the partial action-angle coordinates $(I_\lambda,z^A,y^\lambda)$ such that the functions H_λ depend only on the action coordinates I_λ and the symplectic form Ω on U reads

$$\Omega=dI_\lambda\wedge dy^\lambda+\Omega_{AB}(I_\mu,z^C)dz^A\wedge dz^B+\Omega^\lambda_A(I_\mu,z^C)dI_\lambda\wedge dz^A. \qquad (26)$$

(III) There exists a Darboux coordinate chart $Q\times\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m\subset U$, foliated in toroidal cylinders $\mathbb{R}^{k-m}\times T^m$ and provided with coordinates $(I_\lambda,p_s,q^s,\widetilde{y}^\lambda)$ such that the symplectic form Ω (26) on this chart takes the canonical form

$$\Omega=dI_\lambda\wedge d\widetilde{y}^\lambda+dp_s\wedge dq^s. \qquad (27)$$

This theorem is proved in Appendix B. Part (I) repeats exactly that of Theorem 1, while the proof of part (II) follows that of Theorem 3. The proof of part (III) is a generalization of that of Proposition 1 in Ref. [21] to noncompact invariant manifolds. As follows from the expression (27), the PIS in Theorem 6 can be extended to a completely integrable system on some open neighbourhood of M, but Hamiltonian vector field of its additional local integrals of motion fail to be complete.

A glance at the symplectic form Ω (26) shows that there exists a Poisson structure w of rank $2k$, e.g., $w=\partial^\lambda\wedge\partial_\lambda$ on U such that, with respect to w, the integrals of motion H_λ of the original PIS remain to be in involution, and they possess the same Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ. Hence, $(\Omega,w,\{H_\lambda\})$ is the above mentioned bi-Hamiltonian system. Conversely, if Z is even-dimensional, any Poisson bivector field w (11) is extended to an appropriate symplectic structure Ω as follows.

Proposition 7: The Poisson bivector field w (11) on a toroidal domain U' in Theorem 2 is extended to a symplectic structure Ω on U' such that integrals of motion $H_\lambda=J_\lambda$ remain in involution and their Hamiltonian vector fields with respect to w and Ω coincide.

Proof:. The Poisson bivector field w (11) on the foliated manifold $(U,\mathcal{F})$ defines a leafwise symplectic form $\Omega_\mathcal{F}$ (40). Restricted to the toroidal domain U' in Theorem 2 where coordinates J_λ have trivial transition functions, the exact sequence (39) admits the splitting

$$\zeta^*:T\mathcal{F}^*\to T^*U', \qquad \zeta^*(\overline{d}J_\mu)=dJ_\mu, \qquad \zeta^*(\overline{d}y^\mu)=dy^\mu$$

such that $\zeta^* \circ \Omega_\mathcal{F}$ is a presymplectic form on U'. Let $\Omega_Z = \Omega_{AB}(z^C) dz^A \wedge dz^B$ be also a presymplectic form on U'. It always exist. Then, $\Omega = \zeta^* \circ \Omega_\mathcal{F} + \Omega_Z$ is a desired symplectic form on U'.

V. KAM THEOREM FOR PARTIALLY INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

Let $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, be a partially integrable system on a $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold (Z, Ω). Let M be its regular connected compact invariant manifold which admits an open neighbourhood satisfying Theorem 6. In this case, Theorem 6 comes to the above mentioned Nekhoroshev theorem. By virtue of this theorem, there exists an open neighbourhood of M which is a trivial composite bundle

$$\pi : U = V \times W \times T^k \to V \times W \to V \tag{28}$$

(cf. (50)) over domains $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{2(n-k)}$ and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. It is provided with the partial action-angle coordinates (I_i, z^A, ϕ^i), $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $A = 1, \ldots, 2(n-k)$, such that the symplectic form Ω on U reads

$$\Omega = dI_i \wedge d\phi^i + \Omega_{AB}(I_j, z^C) dz^A \wedge dz^B + \Omega^i_A(I_j, z^C) dI_i \wedge dz^A \tag{29}$$

(cf. (26)), while integrals of motion H_i depend only on the action coordinates I_j.

Note that, in accordance with part (III) of Theorem 6, one can always restrict U to a Darboux coordinate chart provided with coordinates $(I_i, p_s, q^s; \varphi^i)$ such that the symplectic form Ω (29) takes the canonical form

$$\Omega = dI_i \wedge d\varphi^i + dp_s \wedge dq^s.$$

Then, the PIS $\{H_i\}$ on this chart can be extended to a completely integrable system, e.g., $\{H_i, p_s\}$, but its invariant manifolds fail to be compact. Therefore, this is not the case of the KAM theorem.

Let $\mathcal{H}(I_j)$ be a Hamiltonian of a PIS on U (28). Its Hamiltonian vector field

$$\xi = \partial^i \mathcal{H}(I_\mathrm{j}) \partial_i \tag{30}$$

with respect to the symplectic form Ω (29) yields the Hamilton equation

$$\dot{I}_i = 0, \qquad \dot{z}^A = 0, \qquad \dot{\phi}^i = \partial^i \mathcal{H}(I_j) \tag{31}$$

on U. Let us consider perturbations

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}_1(I_j, z^A, \phi^j). \tag{32}$$

We assume the following. (i) The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and its perturbations (32) are real analytic, although generalizations to the case of infinite and finite order of differentiability are possible.[10,22] (ii) The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is nondegenerate, i.e., the frequency map

$$\omega : V \times W \ni (I_j, z^A) \mapsto \xi^i(I_j) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

is of rank k.

Note that $\omega(V\times W)\subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is open and bounded. As usual, given $\gamma>0$, let

$$\Omega_\gamma=\{\omega\in\mathbb{R}^k\,:\,|\omega^i a_i|\geq \gamma(\sum_{j=1}^k |a_j|)^{-k-1}, \quad \forall a\in\mathbb{Z}^k\setminus 0\}$$

denote the Cantor set of nonresonant frequences. The complement of $\Omega_\gamma\cap\omega(V\times W)$ in $\omega(V\times W)$ is dense and open, but its relative Lebesgue measure tends to zero with γ. Let us denote $\Gamma_\gamma=\omega^{-1}(\Omega_\gamma)$, also called the Cantor set.

A problem is that the Hamiltonian vector field of the perturbed Hamiltonian (32) with respect to the symplectic form Ω (29) leads to the Hamilton equation $\dot z^A\neq 0$ and, therefore, no torus (31) persists.

To overcome this difficulty, let us provide the toroidal domain U (28) with the degenerate Poisson structure given by the Poisson bivector field

$$w=\partial^i\wedge\partial_i \tag{33}$$

of rank $2k$. It is readily observed that, relative to w, all integrals of motion of the original PIS $(\Omega,\{H_i\})$ remain in involution and, moreover, they possess the same Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_i. In particular, a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the Poisson structure (33) leads to the same Hamilton equation (31). Thus, we can think of the pair $(w,\{H_i\})$ as being a PIS on the Poisson manifold (U,w). The key point is that, with respect to the Poisson bivector field w (33), the Hamiltonian vector field of the perturbed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}'$ (32) is

$$\xi'=\partial^i\mathcal{H}'\partial_i-\partial_i\mathcal{H}'\partial^i, \tag{34}$$

and the corresponding first order dynamic equation on U reads

$$\dot I_i=-\partial_i\mathcal{H}'(I_j,z^B,\phi^j),\qquad \dot z^A=0,\qquad \dot\phi^i=\partial^i\mathcal{H}'(I_j,z^B,\phi^j). \tag{35}$$

This is a Hamilton equation with respect to the Poisson structure w (33), but is not so relative to the original symplectic form Ω. Since $\dot z^A=0$ and the toroidal domain U (28) is a trivial bundle over W, one can think of the dynamic equation (35) as being a perturbation of the dynamic equation (31) depending on parameters z^A. Furthermore, the Poisson manifold (U,w) is the product of symplectic manifold $(V\times T^k,\Omega')$ with the symplectic form

$$\Omega'=dI_i\wedge d\phi^i \tag{36}$$

and the Poisson manifold $(W,w=0)$ with the zero Poisson structure. Therefore, the equation (35) can be seen as a Hamilton equation on the symplectic manifold $(V\times T^k,\Omega')$ depending on parameters. Then, one can apply the conditions of quasi-periodic stability of symplectic Hamiltonian systems depending on parameters[10] to the perturbation (35).

In a more general setting, these conditions can be formulated as follows. Let $(w,\{H_i\})$, $i=2,\ldots,k$, be a PIS on a regular Poisson manifold (Z,w) of rank $2k$. Let M be its regular connected compact invariant manifold, and let U be its toroidal neighbourhood U (28) in Theorem 3 provided with the partial action-angle coordinates (I_i, z^A, ϕ^i) such that the Poisson bivector w on U takes the canonical form (33). The following result is a reformulation of that in Ref. [10] (Section 5c), where $P = W$ is a parameter space and σ is the symplectic form (36) on $V \times T^k$.

Theorem 8: Given a torus $\{0\} \times T^k$, let

$$\xi = \xi^i(I_j, z^A)\partial_i \tag{37}$$

(cf. (30)) be a real analytic Hamiltonian vector field whose frequency map

$$\omega : V \times W \ni (I_j, z^A) \mapsto \xi^i(I_j, z^A) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

is of maximal rank at $\{0\}$. Then, there exists a neighbourhood $N_0 \subset V \times W$ of $\{0\}$ such that, for any real analytic Hamiltonian vector field

$$\widetilde{\xi} = \widetilde{\xi}_i(I_j, z^A, \phi^j)\partial^i + \widetilde{\xi}^i(I_j, z^A, \phi^j)\partial_i$$

(cf. (34)) sufficiently near ξ (37) in the real analytic topology, the following holds. Given the Cantor set $\Gamma_\gamma \subset N_0$, there exists the $\widetilde{\xi}$-invariant Cantor set $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset N_0 \times T^k$ which is a C^∞-near-identity diffeomorphic image of $\Gamma_\gamma \times T^k$.

Theorem 8 is an extension of the KAM theorem to PISs on Poisson manifolds (Z, w). Given a PIS $(\Omega, \{H_i\})$ on a symplectic manifold (Z, Ω), Theorem 8 enables one to obtain its perturbations (34) possessing a large number of invariant tori, though these perturbations are not Hamiltonian.

VI. APPENDIX A

Proof of Theorem 3: First, let us employ Theorem 2 and restrict U to the toroidal domain, say U again, equipped with coordinates $(J_\lambda, z^A, y^\lambda)$ such that the Poisson bivector field w takes the form (11) and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ (12) of k independent functions $H_\lambda = J_\lambda$ in involution. Let us choose these vector fields as new generators of the group G and return to Theorem 1. In accordance with this theorem, there exists a toroidal domain $U' \subset U$ provided with another trivialization $U' \to N' \subset N$ in toroidal cylinders $\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$ and endowed with bundle coordinates $(J_\lambda, z^A, y'^\lambda)$ such that the vector fields ϑ_λ (12) take the form (8). For the sake of simplicity, let U', N' and y' be denoted U, N and $y = (t^a, \varphi^i)$ again. Herewith, the Poisson bivector field w is given by the expression (11) with new coefficients.

Let $w^\sharp : T^*U \to TU$ be the corresponding bundle homomorphism, and let $T\mathcal{F}^* \to U$ denote the dual of the characteristic distribution $T\mathcal{F} \to U$. We have the exact sequences

$$0 \to T\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{i_\mathcal{F}} TU \longrightarrow TU/T\mathcal{F} \to 0, \tag{38}$$

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ann} T\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow T^*U \xrightarrow{i^*_\mathcal{F}} T\mathcal{F}^* \to 0. \tag{39}$$

The bundle homomorphism $w^\sharp$ factorizes in a unique fashion

$$w^\sharp : T^*U \xrightarrow{i^*_{\mathcal{F}}} T\mathcal{F}^* \xrightarrow{w^\sharp_{\mathcal{F}}} T\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{F}}} TU$$

through the bundle isomorphism

$$w^\sharp_{\mathcal{F}} : T\mathcal{F}^* \to T\mathcal{F}, \qquad w^\sharp_{\mathcal{F}} : \alpha \mapsto -w(x)\lfloor\alpha.$$

Then, the inverse isomorphisms $w^\flat_{\mathcal{F}} : T\mathcal{F} \to T\mathcal{F}^*$ provides the foliated manifold $(U, \mathcal{F})$ with the leafwise symplectic form

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega^{\mu\nu}(J_\lambda, z^A, t^a)\overline{d}J_\mu \wedge \overline{d}J_\nu + \Omega^\nu_\mu(J_\lambda, z^A)\overline{d}J_\nu \wedge \overline{d}y^\mu, \tag{40}$$

$$\Omega^\alpha_\mu w^\mu_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \qquad \Omega^{\alpha\beta} = -\Omega^\alpha_\mu \Omega^\beta_\nu w^{\mu\nu}, \tag{41}$$

where $\{\overline{d}J_\mu, \overline{d}y^\mu\}$ is the dual of the basis $\{\partial^\mu, \partial_\mu\}$ for the characteristic distribution $T\mathcal{F}$. Recall that leafwise (or tangential) exterior forms are defined as sections of the exterior bundle $\wedge T\mathcal{F}^* \to U$, while the leafwise exterior differential $\overline{d}$ acts on them by the law

$$\overline{d}\psi = \overline{d}J_\lambda \wedge \partial^\lambda\psi + \overline{d}y^\lambda \wedge \partial_\lambda\psi$$

(see, e.g., Ref. [23,24]). The leafwise symplectic form $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ is nondegenerate and $\overline{d}$-closed, i.e., $\overline{d}\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$. Let us show that it is $\overline{d}$-exact.

Let F be a leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of U. There is a homomorphism of the de Rham cohomology $H^*(U)$ of U to the de Rham cohomology of $H^*(F)$ of F. One can show that this homomorphism factorizes through the leafwise cohomology[24]

$$H^*(U) \to H^*_{\mathcal{F}}(U) \to H^*(F). \tag{42}$$

Since N is a domain of an adapted coordinate chart of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_N$, the foliation $\mathcal{F}_N$ of N is a trivial fiber bundle $N = V \times W \to W$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is the pull-back onto U of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_N$ of N, it is also a trivial fiber bundle

$$U = V \times W \times (\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m) \to W \tag{43}$$

over a domain $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim Z - 2k}$. It follows that

$$H^*(U) = H^*(T^m) = H^*_{\mathcal{F}}(U).$$

Then, the closed leafwise two-form $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ (40) is exact due to the absence of the term $\Omega_{\mu\nu}dy^\mu \wedge dy^\nu$. Moreover, $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{d}\Xi$ where Ξ reads

$$\Xi = \Xi^\alpha(J_\lambda, z^A, y^\lambda)\overline{d}J_\alpha + \Xi_i(J_\lambda, z^A)\overline{d}\varphi^i$$

up to a $\overline{d}$-exact leafwise form.

The Hamiltonian vector fields $\vartheta_\lambda = \vartheta^\mu_\lambda \partial_\mu$ (8) obey the relation

$$\vartheta_\lambda \rfloor \Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = -\overline{d} J_\lambda, \qquad \Omega^\alpha_\beta \vartheta^\beta_\lambda = \delta^\alpha_\lambda, \tag{44}$$

which falls into the following conditions

$$\Omega^\lambda_i = \partial^\lambda \Xi_i - \partial_i \Xi^\lambda, \tag{45}$$

$$\Omega^\lambda_a = -\partial_a \Xi^\lambda = \delta^\lambda_a. \tag{46}$$

The first of the relations (41) shows that Ω^α_β is a nondegenerate matrix independent of coordinates y^λ. Then, the condition (45) implies that $\partial_i \Xi^\lambda$ are independent of φ^i, and so are Ξ^λ since φ^i are cyclic coordinates. Hence,

$$\Omega^\lambda_i = \partial^\lambda \Xi_i, \tag{47}$$

$$\partial_i \rfloor \Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = -\overline{d} \Xi_i. \tag{48}$$

Let us introduce new coordinates $I_a = J_a$, $I_i = \Xi_i(J_\lambda)$. By virtue of the equalities (46) and (47), the Jacobian of this coordinate transformation is regular. The relation (48) shows that ∂_i are Hamiltonian vector fields of the functions $H_i = I_i$. Consequently, we can choose vector fields ∂_λ as generators of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. One obtains from the equality (46) that $\Xi^a = -t^a + E^a(J_\lambda, z^A)$ and Ξ^i are independent of t^a. Then, the leafwise Liouville form Ξ reads

$$\Xi = (-t^a + E^a(I_\lambda, z^A))\overline{d} I_a + E^i(I_\lambda, z^A)\overline{d} I_i + I_i \overline{d}\varphi^i.$$

The coordinate shifts

$$x^a = -t^a + E^a(I_\lambda, z^A), \qquad \phi^i = \varphi^i - E^j(I_\lambda, z^A)$$

bring the leafwise form $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ (40) into the canonical form

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{d} I_a \wedge \overline{d} x^a + \overline{d} I_i \wedge \overline{d}\phi^i$$

which ensures the canonical form (16) of the Poisson bivector field w.

VII. APPENDIX B

Proof of Theorem 6: (I). See the proof of part (I) of Theorem 1.

(II). One can specify the coordinates on the base N of the trivial bundle $U \to N$ as follows. Let us consider the morphism

$$\pi' = \overset{\lambda}{\times} H_\lambda : U \to V \tag{49}$$

of U onto a domain $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. It is of constant rank and, consequently, is a fibered manifold. The fibration π' factorizes as

$$\pi' : U \xrightarrow{\pi} N \xrightarrow{\pi''} V$$

through the fiber bundle π. The map $\pi'' = \pi' \circ \sigma$ is also a fibered manifold. One can always restrict the domain N to a chart of the fibered manifold π''. Then, $N \to \pi''(N) = V$ is a trivial bundle, and so is $U \to V$. Thus, we have the composite fibration

$$U = V \times W \times (\mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m) \to V \times W \to V \qquad (50)$$

Let us provide its base V with the coordinates (J_λ) such that $J_\lambda(u) = H_\lambda(u)$, $u \in U$. Then N can be equipped with the bundle coordinates (J_λ, z^A), $A = 1, \ldots, 2(n-k)$, and $(J_\lambda, z^A, t^a, \varphi^i)$ are coordinates on U (50). Since fibers of $U \to N$ are isotropic, the symplectic form Ω on U relative to the coordinates $(J_\lambda, z^A, y^\lambda)$ reads

$$\Omega = \Omega^{\alpha\beta} dJ_\alpha \wedge dJ_\beta + \Omega^\alpha_\beta dJ_\alpha \wedge dy^\beta + \Omega_{AB} dz^A \wedge dz^B + \Omega^\lambda_A dJ_\lambda \wedge dz^A + \Omega_{A\beta} dz^A \wedge dy^\beta. \quad (51)$$

The Hamiltonian vector fields $\vartheta_\lambda = \vartheta^\mu_\lambda \partial_\mu$ (8) obey the relations $\vartheta_\lambda \rfloor \Omega = -dJ_\lambda$, which give the coordinate conditions

$$\Omega^\alpha_\beta \vartheta^\beta_\lambda = \delta^\alpha_\lambda, \qquad \Omega_{A\beta} \vartheta^\beta_\lambda = 0. \qquad (52)$$

The first of them shows that Ω^α_β is a nondegenerate matrix independent of coordinates y^λ. Then, the second one implies $\Omega_{A\beta} = 0$.

By virtue of the well-known Künneth formula for the de Rham cohomology of manifold products, the closed form Ω (51) is exact, i.e., $\Omega = d\Xi$ where the Liouville form Ξ is

$$\Xi = \Xi^\alpha(J_\lambda, z^B, y^\lambda) dJ_\alpha + \Xi_i(J_\lambda, z^B) d\varphi^i + \Xi_A(J_\lambda, z^B, y^\lambda) dz^A.$$

Since $\Xi_a = 0$ and Ξ_i are independent of φ^i, it follows from the relations

$$\Omega_{A\beta} = \partial_A \Xi_\beta - \partial_\beta \Xi_A = 0$$

that Ξ_A are independent of coordinates t^a and are at most affine in φ^i. Since φ^i are cyclic coordinates, Ξ_A are independent of φ^i. Hence, Ξ_i are independent of coordinates z^A, and the Liouville form reads

$$\Xi = \Xi^\alpha(J_\lambda, z^B, y^\lambda) dJ_\alpha + \Xi_i(J_\lambda) d\varphi^i + \Xi_A(J_\lambda, z^B) dz^A. \qquad (53)$$

Because entries Ω^α_β of $d\Xi = \Omega$ are independent of y^λ, we obtain the following.

(i) $\Omega^\lambda_i = \partial^\lambda \Xi_i - \partial_i \Xi^\lambda$. Consequently, $\partial_i \Xi^\lambda$ are independent of φ^i, and so are Ξ^λ since φ^i are cyclic coordinates. Hence, $\Omega^\lambda_i = \partial^\lambda \Xi_i$ and $\partial_i \rfloor \Omega = -d\Xi_i$. A glance at the last equality shows that ∂_i are Hamiltonian vector fields. It follows that, from the beginning, one can separate m integrals of motion, say H_i again, whose Hamiltonian vector fields are tangent to invariant tori. In this case, the matrix B in the expressions (7) and (8) vanishes, and the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ (8) read

$$\vartheta_a = \partial_a, \qquad \vartheta_i = (C^{-1})^k_i \partial_k. \tag{54}$$

Moreover, the coordinates t^a are exactly the flow parameters s^a. Substituting the expressions (54) into the first condition (52), we obtain

$$\Omega = \Omega^{\alpha\beta} dJ_\alpha \wedge dJ_\beta + dJ_a \wedge ds^a + C^i_k dJ_i \wedge d\varphi^k + \Omega_{AB} dz^A \wedge dz^B + \Omega^\lambda_A dJ_\lambda \wedge dz^A.$$

It follows that Ξ_i are independent of J_a, and so are $C^k_i = \partial^k \Xi_i$.

(ii) $\Omega^\lambda_a = -\partial_a \Xi^\lambda = \delta^\lambda_a$. Hence, $\Xi^a = -s^a + E^a(J_\lambda)$ and Ξ^i are independent of s^a.

In view of items (i) – (ii), the Liouville form Ξ (53) reads

$$\Xi = (-s^a + E^a(J_\lambda, z^B)) dJ_a + E^i(J_\lambda, z^B) dJ_i + \Xi_i(J_j) d\varphi^i + \Xi_A(J_\lambda, z^B) dz^A.$$

Since the matrix $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is nondegenerate, we can perform the coordinate transformation $I_a = J_a$, $I_i = \Xi_i(J_j)$ together with the coordinate shifts

$$x^a = -s^a + E^a(J_\lambda, z^B), \qquad \phi^i = \varphi^i - E^j(J_\lambda, z^B) \frac{\partial J_j}{\partial I_i}.$$

These transformations bring Ω into the form (26).

(III). Since functions I_λ are in involution and their Hamiltonian vector fields ∂_λ mutually commute, a point $z \in M$ has an open neigbourhood $Q \times O_z$, $O_z \in \mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$, endowed with the Darboux coordinates $(I_\lambda, p_s, q^s, \widetilde{y}^\lambda)$ such that the symplectic form Ω (26) is given by the expression (27). Here, $\widetilde{y}^\lambda(I_\lambda, z^A, y^\alpha)$ are local functions whose Hamiltonian vector fields are ∂^λ. They take the form

$$\widetilde{y}^\lambda = y^\lambda + f^\lambda(I_\lambda, z^A). \tag{55}$$

With the group G, one can extend these functions to the open neighbourhood

$$\widetilde{U} = Q \times \mathbb{R}^{k-m} \times T^m$$

of M by the law

$$\widetilde{y}^\lambda(I_\lambda, z^A, G(y)^\alpha) = G(y)^\lambda + f^\lambda(I_\lambda, z^A).$$

Substituting the functions (55) on $\widetilde{U}$ into the expression (26), one brings the symplectic form Ω into the canonical form (27) on $\widetilde{U}$.

[1] V.Arnold (Ed.), *Dynamical Systems III* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).

[2] O.Bogoyavlenskij, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **196**, 19 (1998).

[3] F.Fassó and A.Giacobbe, *J. Geom. Phys.* **44**, 156 (2002)

[4] M.Semenov-Tian-Shanski, E-print arXiv: nlin.SI/0209057.

[5] R.Brouzet, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 1309 (1993).

[6] T.Smirnov, *J. Phys. A* **29**, 8133-8138 (1996)

[7] V.Lazutkin, *KAM Theory and Semiclassical Approximations to Eigenfunctions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).

[8] N.Nekhoroshev, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **28**, 128 (1994).

[9] G.Gaeta, *Ann. Phys.* **297**, 157 (2002).

[10] H.Broer, G.Huitema, F.Takens and B.Braaksma, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **421**, 1 (1990).

[11] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti, L. and G.Sardanashvily, *J. Phys. A* **35**, L439 (2002).

[12] F.Fiorani, G.Giachetta and G.Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 5013 (2002).

[13] J.Dustermaat, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **33**, 687 (1980).

[14] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *Phys. Lett. A* **301**, 53 (2002).

[15] H.Sussmann, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **180**, 171 (1973).

[16] P.Molino, *Riemannian Foliations* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988).

[17] R.Cushman and L.Bates, *Global Aspects of Classical Integrable Systems* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997).

[18] S.Hojman, *J. Phys. A* **29**, 667 (1996).

[19] M.Crampin, W.Sarlet and G.Thompson, *J. Phys. A* **33**, 8755 (2000).

[20] J.Nunes da Costa and F.Petalidou, *J. Geom. Phys.* **41**, 181 (2002).

[21] F.Fassó, *Ergod. Theor. Dynam. Sys.* **18**, 1349 (1998).

[22] J.Pöschel, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **35**, 653 (1982).

[23] I.Vaisman, *Cohomology and Differential Forms* (Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1973).

[24] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys* **43**, 2882 (2002).